

CLAIM

I CLAIM:

 A pressure relief system in which one or more, diagonal and or vertical, tubes and or vessels, partially or completely filled with a fluid which via gravity, inertia, etc. holds down and contains until a pre-designated pressure is exceeded, the fluid below it and in other areas connected to the said tube(s)/vessel(s) at or near their bottoms.

The top of areas of said tubes/vessels are either open to the exterior of themselves, connected to a safe place of discharge (e.g. an outside vent or drain), and/or connected in series to additional units similar to themselves further out in the chain of units away from the initial source of pressure (e.g. a boiler, steam drum, pressure vessel, container, or pressurized system etc.)

2. The invention of CLAIM 1 for supplying fluid to the vessel, container, boiler, drum, and/or system that the invention of CLAIM 1 is protecting from excessive pressure.

RECEIVED

JUN 1 0 2002 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700



Unlike mine, Tsao's system is totally closed and there is no opening from parts 25, 25', 25", & 52 to the exterior, a dimin, a vent, etc., therefore there is **NO** way that it could release excessive pressure and protect the boiler from explosion.

JUN 1 0 2002 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700



Unlike mine, Arant's reservoir is shown in all four drawings of the preferred embodiments as having either a sliding piston-like lid (Fig 1,3 &4) or an accordion-like bladder (Fig 2), all of which are closed to the air (see lines 27 - 49 of col. 2, summary of invention & claims 2&3). Arant also clearly realized that his system would still need a conventional pressure relief valve as shown in Fig 1 (part77), Fig. 2 (part 77), Fig. 3 (part 77), and Fig. 4 (part 109).

RECEIVED

JUN 1 0 2002 JECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700



Unlike mine, Bekedam's system is <u>not</u> continually open to the boiler system (see col. 4 lines 30-38, col. 5 lines 39-53, Fig. 1, parts 60,62,64,65a, 65b, and Fig. 2 parts 112,114,116a, 116b) and therefore **cannot** continuously protect the boiler from excessive pressure and explosion.

I should point out that in my pressure relief system is continuously open to the boiler (or other pressurized system, etc.) with either no valves between them, or else to a mere check valve (which even with corrosion readily pop open on their own), hence will continuously protect the boiler (or other pressurized system, etc.) against excessive pressure and explosion.

PECEIVED

JUN 1 0 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700



Argument for my patent application # 09/911,246 vs. Aaltonen (U.S. Pat 6, 105,538).

Unlike mine, Aaltonens system is a closed system (i.e. neither his steam/water cylinder nor any tube from the steam/water system is open to the air, a vent, a drain, or the like) hence his system could not act like a pressure relief valve. His system merely modulates the amount of steam being generated but does not provide the absolute protection against explosion of the system that a pressure relief valve provides, hence his system would still need an additional pressure relief valve/ pressure relief system to protect his steam system from explosion.

RECEIVED

JUN 1 0 2002 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700